#### INTRODUCTION

Leaders and churches that are committed to speaking the truth in love learn that church discipline is part of the package. If we truly care about people, we will not look the other way while they shipwreck their faith, destroy their lives and run from God. Discipline is not a hammer for crushing the wayward brother or sister. It's a redemptive intervention that calls people to turn back to the Lord, who loves them.

The leadership team at Balm of Gilead World Ministries is committed to speaking the truth in love, even when it hurts. This means we will practice church discipline as part of our ministry. Along the way, we will learn that the process is hard both for those who are disciplined and for us as leaders. But the healing, redemption and wholeness that come from loving discipline will be a joy to witness. It's worth the pain to see the Holy Spirit transform hearts and lives.

The staff and church elders will make some hard calls over the years. We will lovingly address many areas of sin in an effort to help people live in a way that brings joy to God's heart.

In the process of administering discipline, we are reminded, every time, that we are broken and sinful people. Every member of our pastoral team and every elder is a forgiven sinner. We are still young in these matters, and each of us needs God's grace every day. We as leaders acknowledge that we too walk by grace, and grace alone.

What we have learned is that most people appreciate and accept discipline when it is extended in love and humility. Yes, there are those who get angry or defensive or who will refuse to meet with church leaders.

We will pray, share the concern, study the Word of God on the topic at hand and call the person to conform to the teaching of Scripture. At that point, it's up to them whether they choose to conform to God's Word and to change the way they are living.

## Example from another Church.(names have been change)

Paul had been attending church for a few months. It was clear that he was in avoidance mode. When he and I finally had a chance to sit and talk, I could see the pain in his eyes. He wanted to know if he could join our church and not worry about his past following him.

#### BOG. DISCIPLINE OF ELDERS POLICY

I asked Paul what had happened that made him feel driven out of his last church. After he told me the story, he asked, "Do you think I could join this Church?" I looked at him and said, "No!" I assured him he was welcome to come to services and that people would treat him kindly, but there was no way our board of elders would allow him to join.

Paul had been an elder at his previous church. When he was ordained, he committed to live under the discipline and leadership of the church. Along the way, he had gotten involved with a woman and had an affair. The leadership team of his previous church had asked to meet with him, but he refused.

Paul looked at me and said, "I have grown to love this Church. I really want to connect here. I know I have problems, and I think I can sort them out here. Is there any way I can join the church?"

I said, "Yes. You need to go back to your church, sit with the people God has placed as spiritual leaders in your life and listen to them. You need to be restored to those brothers and sisters."

I wasn't sure I would ever see Paul again, but he showed up the next Sunday for worship. The next week, I received a call from Paul's pastor. He told me that Paul had called and he was going to meet with their elders. About a week later, the pastor of Paul's church called me again. I asked him how the meeting went. He said, "It was our best elders meeting ever! There was repentance, restoration and healing. Tears were shed, and the Holy Spirit showed up."

I rejoiced with him and he thanked our leaders for having the courage to love Paul enough to speak the truth and help them practice loving discipline. He let me know that they were encouraged to be more diligent in this area of ministry. My prayer is that this story will inspire other leaders and churches to discover the healing potential of spiritual discipline.

When a leader errs, the rest of the leadership must discipline the offender. Given the situation that existed in Ephesus, it is easy to imagine that tempers would have run high. A purge mentality may have set in among those who had resisted the false teachers, leading them to make every effort to root out any elders even remotely associated with the opposition. Then again, pockets of adherents to the false teaching may have brought false accusations against the faithful leaders. In any case, while discipline of the leadership was not to be avoided, it was to be executed carefully and fairly.

#### **Discipline of Elders**

In order to protect a person from false accusation, the law of Moses stipulated that the testimony of two or three witnesses was necessary to establish the matter (Deut 19:15). This became a part of the early church's procedure of discipline (Mt 18:16; 2 Cor 13:1). Paul's readers are probably already embroiled in controversy surrounding accused elders, and when he invokes the well-known regulation it is to protect the accused and instill some order into the process. Thus the protective device is not lacking; but in the church there continue to be strong-willed, self-seeking individuals who would use their influence and even underhanded means to shape others' opinions about one person or another. It falls to the leadership to ensure that as far as possible this injustice is avoided.

Discipline must be meted out to those elders whose sin is properly confirmed. <u>But what constitutes sin</u>? First, Paul uses the present tense, which implies that some elders are presently sinning, perhaps refusing to acknowledge their sin and repent.

Second, primarily in view in this context would be the sin of participating in the false teaching, such as Hymenaeus, Alexander (1:20) and Philetus (2 Tim 2:17) were charged with. This would have included actual teaching and more generally promoting the strife and dissension that went with the movement

Of course, these rules would apply in any case in which an elder willfully transgressed the revealed will of God in matters of faith and practice. But the standard for determining sin must be Scripture, and areas of faith and practice in which opinions differ because the teaching of Scripture is not clear or is capable of more than one reasonable interpretation ought not to be so categorized. The purpose of this process was to deal with actual, identifiable sin.

This raises a serious question for us: Granted that divergent views on certain issues (separation from the world, eschatology, gifts of the Spirit, the role of women in the church) may ill-suit one to ministry in one church or denomination or another, ought such divergence to be met with disciplinary measures? Or was Martin Luther, whom the Catholic Church branded a heretic, right to attribute to the devil Zwingli's interpretation of the Lord's Supper (that Christ meant that the bread and the wine are only symbols of his body and blood) and label the Swiss reformer a fanatic? To bring this kind of debate into the context of these

instructions about discipline is a dangerous thing. It is equally dangerous to fail to discipline Christians known to be involved in actual sin: sexual immorality, marital infidelity, dishonesty, spreading rumors, promulgating false doctrine.

Once sin is established, discipline by the leadership is to follow. The approved method is public rebuke. A look at Matthew 18:15-17 suggests that discipline of the elder here is comparatively harsh; but then the leader's sin affects the whole congregation. Yet it is not as harsh as it might be--a rebuke is not "excommunication" nor is it necessarily dismissal from office. Public rebuke is meant to produce repentance in the sinning elder (2 Tim 2:25). Another purpose (so that) is to remind the rest of the church's leadership, and indeed the whole congregation, of the gravity of sin and its consequences.

Finally, the matter must be judged and (if warranted) discipline must be administered with absolute impartiality. This is meant to ensure both a just evaluation and the consistent application of discipline in actual cases of sin. And this is no casual footnote to the guidelines Paul has already issued. First, he uses the strongest terms to bind Timothy and the church leadership to this principle: an apostolic command, with God, Christ Jesus and the elect angels acting as witnesses. An awareness of the presence of God in the believing community is a strong inducement to obedient Christian living (5:4; 6:13; 2 Tim 2:14; 4:1). It was precisely this awareness--of "the LORD your God, who is among you" (Deut 6:15)--that motivated the newborn community of Israel to obedience.

Second, the two phrases that command impartiality take up a dominant theme in Scripture. The judgment of God is said to be completely impartial (2 Chron 19:7; Rom 2:11; Eph 6:9; Col 3:25; Jas 2:1; 1 Pet 1:17; compare Sirach 35:12). So strong was this belief in God's impartiality that it became a requirement that God's people reflect it as they discharged leadership duties. This applied doubly to leaders of the community, such as the judges whom Jehoshaphat appointed (2 Chron 19:7), whose role was to represent God among the people. It is quite possible that Paul had this Old Testament story in mind, since the two verbs that appear in the Greek Old Testament, "keep" and "do," also occur here. In any case, impartiality is a requirement in the discharge of because church leadership duties, leaders are God's representatives among the people.

The gravity of the situation addressed here certainly calls for immediate action. But Timothy is not to allow the pressures of the moment to force

him to conclude that expediency alone is the objective. On the one hand, the reputations and feelings of people are at stake, and expediency, which might suggest the need to take shortcuts, often does not take them into account. On the other hand, sometimes expediency forces real problems to be swept under the carpet. The instructions envision a process designed to aid the church's leadership in making responsible decisions about discipline. The process emphasizes attention to the problem, justice and appropriate disciplinary measures. No matter how urgent the moment might seem, church unity and witness will be better served if the procedures outlined here are followed.

### What should be involved in church discipline for a church leader?

First, we need to keep in mind that the primary goal of church discipline is restoration to spiritual health. It should never be viewed as punishment. Nevertheless, to set an example and because church leaders are to be models for others, disqualification and removal from his former positions of leadership and ministry is certainly part of the discipline needed for church leaders.

This is evident from 1 Timothy 5:19-20, "Do not accept an accusation against an elder unless it can be confirmed by two or three witnesses. Those guilty of sin must be rebuked before all, as a warning to the rest." (NET Bible). The text does not tell us who "all" is referring to. It could be all the elders or church leaders or a larger part of the flock, but not necessarily the whole congregation. Note the comment on this verse by *Expositors Bible Commentary*:

The context suggests that "those who sin" (v. 20)—literally, "are sinning" (present participle)—refers to presbyters. Such offenders Timothy is to rebuke "publicly"—literally, "before all" (*enopion panton*). Does this mean before the whole church or only before the other elders? The next clause seems to favor the latter: "so that the others"— *hoi loipoi*, "the rest"—"may take warning"—literally, "may have fear" (*phobon echosi*). "The rest" would normally be the other presbyters.

On the other hand, note the comment by *The Bible Knowledge Commentary* which shows that good Bible teachers and scholars see this differently.

When such accusations would then prove to be true, Timothy was to rebuke the offenders publicly, that is, before the entire congregation. In this way the remaining members could take warning (lit., "have fear"). Fear of the discipline of God, in this case administered through the

congregation, is a healthy thing in a Christian, especially for those in places of leadership. Modern congregations that ignore church discipline do so at the peril of both the offender and themselves.

Regardless, something of this nature is in order as an example and a warning to all the leaders at least, if not a larger part of the body. However, discipline is to be both **negative** and **positive**. It should also include actions by the leadership in keeping with Galatians 6:1f to provide for the growth, change, and needed help to ensure (as much as possible) that this does not occur in the future. The person needs discipling or counsel and accountability by those who are spiritually mature on a regular basis and over an extended time until they are reasonably sure that there has been genuine progress and growth. Failure to submit to this, of course, would indicate a lack of genuine repentance and require further discipline and rebuke.

If after removal from office, he is repentant and continues to attend the church, he needs the love and care of the flock and demonstration of forgiveness and acceptance.

## **Church Discipline**

Study By: J. Hampton Keathley, III

Though church discipline is a very difficult area of doctrine and one hard to practice, it nevertheless rests upon the divine authority of Scripture and is vital to the purity, power, progress, and purpose of the church. The responsibility and necessity for discipline is not an option for the church if it obeys the Word of God, but a church must be equally concerned that Scripture is carefully followed in the practice of church discipline. The following summary is suggested for study and as a guide for this very important area of doctrine.

# The Principle of Discipline Defined

 build up believers so they can be conformed to the image and character of Christ. Church discipline as a part of the edification process ministers to those within the body of Christ who are dominated by some area of sin so they can experience liberation from its power through fellowship with Christ.

How then do we define church discipline? Carl Laney states, "Church discipline may be broadly defined as the confrontive and corrective measures taken by an individual, church leaders, or the congregation regarding a matter of sin in the life of a believer."<sup>2</sup>

Discipline in the church is not punishment. It is discipline and discipline is designed to train and restore.

## The Pattern and Basis for Discipline

- (1) The discipline of the church is first patterned after the fact that *the Lord Himself disciplines His children* (Heb. 12:6) and, as a father delegates part of the discipline of the children to the mother, so the Lord has delegated the discipline of the church family to the church itself (1 Cor. 5:12-13; 2 Cor. 2:6).
- (2) Discipline is further based on *the holy character of God* (1 Pet. 1:16; Heb. 12:11). The pattern of God's holiness—His desire for the church to be holy, set apart unto Him—is an important reason for the necessity of church discipline. The church is therefore to clean out the leaven of malice and wickedness from its ranks (1 Cor. 5:6-8). A failure to exercise discipline in the church evidences a lack of awareness of and concern for the holiness of God.
- (3) Church discipline is to be patterned after and based on *the divine commands of Scripture* (1 Cor. 4:6). We have numerous passages of Scripture which both command and give us God's directives on the how, why, when, and where of church discipline. Again, a failure to exercise this responsibility demonstrates a lack of obedience and belief in the authority of the Bible (1 Cor. 5:1-13; Matt. 18:17-18; Titus 3:10; 2 Thess. 3:6-15; 1 Tim. 5:20; Gal. 6:1).
- (4) Another basis for the necessity of church discipline is *the testimony* of the church in the world (1 Pet. 4:13-19). The world observes the behavior and life of the church. When the church acts no differently than the world, it loses its credibility and authenticity (1 Pet. 2:11-18; 3:8-16; 4:1-4).

# The Purposes of Church Discipline

- (1) To bring glory to God and enhance the testimony of the flock.
- (2) To restore, heal, and build up sinning believers (Matt. 18:15; 2 Thess. 3:14-15; Heb. 12:10-13; Gal. 6:1-2; Jam. 5:20).
- (3) To produce a healthy faith, one sound in doctrine (Tit. 1:13; 1 Tim. 1:19-20).
- (4) To win a soul to Christ, if the sinning person is only a professing Christian (2 Tim. 2:24-26).
- (5) To silence false teachers and their influence in the church (Tit. 1:10-11).
- (6) To set an example for the rest of the body and promote godly fear (1 Tim. 5:20).
- (7) To protect the church against the destructive consequences that occur when churches fail to carry out church discipline. A church that fails to exercise discipline experiences four losses:
  - The Loss of Purity: Church discipline is vital to the purity of the local body and its protection from moral decay and impure doctrinal influences. Why? <a href="Because a little leaven leavens the entire lump">Because a little leaven leavens the entire lump</a> (1 Cor. 5:6-7). This is the "rotten apple" problem or the "snowball"

An illustration of this is the Corinthian church which showed a lack of concern for purity. They neglected the responsibility to discipline and suffered as a result. Their insensitivity to one moral issue may have led to their compromise on other issues. Laney writes, "The Corinthians engaged in lawsuits, misused their liberty, profaned the Lord's Supper, neglected the primacy of love, failed to regulate the use of their gifts, and questioned the resurrection." Failure in church discipline in Corinth could be compared to a snowball tumbling downhill.

The Loss of Power: Sin in the life of the church grieves the
person of the Holy Spirit and quenches His power. If sin remains
unchecked by the loving application of church discipline in a body
of believers, the Holy Spirit must abandon such a church to its own
carnal resources. The unavoidable result will be the loss of the

Lord's blessing until the sin is dealt with.

The defeat of Israel because of the sin of Achan in Joshua 7 illustrates the principle. This is just as true for the church today, especially when we know certain things exist but ignore them or simply look the other way because it is difficult to deal with or because it involves one of our friends and we do not want to risk causing problems in the relationship.

- The Loss of Progress: A church that refuses to practice church discipline will see its ministry decline. The church may want to grow and reach out and it may try all kinds of stop gap measures, promotional campaigns, and programs in an attempt to turn things around, but if there is sin in the camp, it will all be to no avail. See Revelation 2:5 and 3:16 for illustrations of this principle.
- The Loss of Purpose: As His ambassadors to a lost and dying world, God has called the church to be a holy people, a people who, standing out as distinct from the world, proclaim the excellencies of the works of God in Christ (1 Pet. 1:14-16; 2:9-15). If this is to occur, we must be different from the world and church discipline helps us to both remember and maintain that purpose. One of the recurring judgments against the church today as demonstrated in various polls taken across the country is the fact there is little or no difference between the church and the secular world when it comes to attitudes, values, morals, and lifestyle. We have lost our sense of purpose.

## The Practice of Church Discipline

#### The Manner

The above goals or purposes automatically govern the spirit in which all disciplinary action is to be given. Thus:

- Discipline must be done by those who are spiritual, truly walking by the Holy Spirit and growing in the Lord (Gal. 6:1).
- (2) Discipline must be done in a spirit of humility, gentleness and patience, looking to ourselves lest we too be tempted (Gal. 6:1-2; 2 Tim. 2:24-25).
- (3) Discipline must be done without bias, doing nothing in a spirit of partiality (1 Tim. 5:21).

- Those who <u>walk disorderly</u> are to be admonished, warned, and appealed to in love (1 Thess. 5:14-15; 1 Tim. 5:1-2; Eph. 4:15; 2 Tim. 4:2). This admonishing, is not restricted to church leaders, but may be done by any person in the body with another if that person is Spirit controlled and spiritually minded (cf. 1 Thess. 5:14 with Gal. 6:1).
- (5) If there is no response in repentance and obedience, then the sinning believer is to be rebuked publicly and members of the body are to withhold intimate fellowship through the process and procedure of group disapproval and social ostracism as prescribed in the next section, Procedures for Church Discipline below (2 Thess. 3:6, 14-15; Tit. 3:10; 1 Tim. 5:20). This action has a two-fold objective:
  - It is to indicate to the offender that his/her action has dishonored the Lord and has caused a rupture in the harmony of the body. The goal is always restoration and the person is still to be counted as a brother (2 Thess. 3:14-15).
  - It is to create fear in the rest of the flock as a warning against sin (1 Tim. 5:20).
- (6) If there is still no response in repentance and obedience, the church is to apply the procedures of excommunication as directed in Matthew 18:17.

Several examples of church discipline are found in Scripture. The Corinthian believers were to be "gathered together" in order to take action against the offending brother (1 Cor. 5:4-5; Rom. 16:17; 2 Thess. 3:6-15; Phil. 3:17-19).

This is defined by Paul as "punishment inflicted by the majority" (2 Cor. 2:6). As a protective measure, we also find that the whole church in Rome and in Thessalonica were to take action with regard to the unruly and schismatic, not just a few (2 Thess. 3:6-15; Rom. 16:17).

(7) Finally, discipline in the name of our Lord always includes a readiness to forgive. The many or majority who discipline must also be ready and eager to forgive, comfort, and reaffirm their love to the sinning person (2 Cor. 2:6-8). (See Procedures for Church Discipline below.)

## Reasons for Church Discipline

In church discipline we must exercise extreme care. Scripture does not warrant the exercise of discipline for an individual's or a church's taboos or pet peeves—the "dirty dozen" or the "nasty nine." Scripture, not our

#### BOG. DISCIPLINE OF ELDERS POLICY

opinions or dislikes, must be the guide for what is sin. Further, we must not become hypercritical or "speck inspectors."

(1) General Causes: Disorderly conduct, conduct clearly out of line with the prescribed commands of Scripture and which negatively impacts the testimony and unity of the church (2 Thess. 3:6-15).

### (2) Specific Causes:

- Difficulties between members (Matt. 18:15-17).
- <u>Divisive or factious people causing divisions in the church (Rom.</u> 16:17-18; Titus 3:9-11).
- Immoral conduct; sins of the type mentioned in 1 Corinthians 5 such as incest, immorality, covetousness, idolatry, abusive speech, drunkenness, swindling, or idle busybodies who refuse to work and run around spreading dissension (1 Cor. 5:1, 11; 2 Thess. 3:10-15).
- False teaching; erroneous teaching and views which concern the fundamentals of the faith and not lesser differences of interpretation (1 Tim. 1:20; 2 Tim. 2:17-18; also implied in Rev. 2:14-16; Phil. 3:2-3, 15-19; Rom. 16:17-18).

The key concerns that guide us in this are: (a) the holy character of God, (b) the testimony of the flock, (c) the effect upon the unity and purity of the flock, and (d) the edification and restoration of the individual.

# **Procedures** for Church Discipline

The scriptural procedure is clear and specific steps are prescribed as follows:

#### **Cautions**

If you see the offense or you have accurate knowledge of the sin(s), please note these cautions:

- Be sure it is an offense which calls for discipline and not merely one of our pet peeves. Again, the Word must be our criterion.
- Remember how we too have sinned in the past and heed the warnings of Galatians 6:1.
- Bring the matter before the Lord in prayer before the confrontation takes place (1 Sam. 8:6).

- Don't procrastinate. The longer the delay, the more difficult the condition can become. Remember the consequences listed above.
- Don't gossip or even talk to others about it in the sense of Matthew 18:16 until you have talked to the sinning believer privately. We must guard and protect the person and the flock from rumors and a slanderous tongue (Prov. 6:19b; 10:19; 11:13; 18:8, 21; 20:19).

## First Step

First, seek private correction and/or reconciliation with the offender (Matt. 18:15). In Matthew 18:15 many manuscripts have "and if your brother sins *against you*, go and reprove him in private."

There has been no little debate as to whether the words "against you" are part of the original manuscripts. The words "against me" in verse 21 may have led a scribe or copyist to personalize the matter in verse 15. Or, one could argue the omission was deliberate in order to generalize the passage. While some important manuscript tradition lacks the words "against you," many feel there is good evidence for their originality. First, the words, "reprove him in private," and second, the question of Peter in verse 21 about forgiving a brother who sins "against me" suggests their inclusion.

Whether the words "against you" were in the original text or not, Galatians 6:1 teaches that believers have a responsibility to confront sin in general in the life of other believers and not just when it is an offense against one's person. It would seem, then that there is a two-fold application:

- (1) When the problem involves one believer sinning against another, there are two problems that need to be taken care of: reconciliation and restoration (Matt. 5:23-24).
- (2) When the problem involves a believer overcome in or by some sin, as was the case in Galatians 6:1, the need is restoration.

Matthew 18:16-17 should not be limited to the problem of one believer sinning against another in view of Galatians 6:1. So, the one offended or who recognizes the offense or sin is to go privately and try to rectify the problem.

Please note these guidelines:

- (1) Begin by expressing your genuine appreciation for the person and their good qualities to show you are genuinely concerned about their welfare. Then and only then bring up the matter which is of concern.
- (2) In some situations the sin is apparent and there is no question, but we must allow for the possibility that we have misjudged or have wrong information. We must listen to the other person's side of the story and seek the facts in the interest of truth and fairness.
- (3) If the person fails to respond, warn them that, according to the instructions of Scripture (Matt. 18:16), you will have to get others as witnesses and return with them to deal with the problem.

### Second Step

If the first step fails, take witnesses to strengthen the effect of the discipline, preferably spiritual leaders, so that if it has to be brought before the whole church it can be firmly proven and established (Matt. 18:16-17; 1 Tim. 5:19). The aid of church leadership should be sought if the problem involves an offense that is against the whole body or if it is a threat to the unity of the body.

These initial contacts, private and with witnesses, provide opportunity for loving admonition, correction, and forgiveness. On the other hand, if these first steps do not produce results, it constitutes a warning that further action will be taken and provides occasion for serious rebuke (2 Tim. 4:2; 1 Thess. 5:12-13; Titus 2:15; 3:10).

## Third Step

If the second step fails, seek reconciliation and restoration through the whole body. If further action is necessary, it is to be taken before the whole church (2 Thess. 3:14-15; Matt. 18:17; 1 Tim. 5:20).

This action appears to fall into two stages when we combine 2 Thessalonians 3:14 and 1 Corinthians 5:9-13 with Matthew 18:17.

- (1) The body is to exercise group disapproval by way of social ostracism (refusal to have intimate fellowship).
- (2) If this doesn't work, the local body of believers is to exercise excommunication: removal from church membership, loss of voting privileges, and continuation of the loss of intimate fellowship. This must be approved of and done by the entire congregation (2 Cor. 2:6).

#### BOG. DISCIPLINE OF ELDERS POLICY

This is, in essence, the Lord carrying out discipline through the action of the entire body under the leadership of the elders or the spiritually mature (1 Cor. 5:4). Similar heavenly authority is seen in the ratification of this disciplinary action as spelled out in Matthew 18:18-19.

#### **Procedures for Restoration**

### **Forgiveness**

In keeping with the goal of restoration, the role of the church must change after there is repentance. This means accepting the person and forgetting the past (2 Cor. 2:7a).

But how do we know when repentance is genuine? What is our responsibility when the sinning party acknowledges their wrong and claims repentance? The following two passages answer this for us.

Luke 3:8, when they ". . . bring forth fruits in keeping with repentance."

Acts 26:20, "... that they should repent and turn to God, performing deeds appropriate to repentance."

Genuine repentance will make itself evident by its deeds and attitudes. The repentant person will:

- (1) Freely acknowledge his sin (1 Jn. 1:9; Prov. 28:13a).
- (2) Cease the activity for which he was disciplined or at least seek help if it's a case of life dominating patterns (Prov. 28:13b; Gal. 6:1f; Jam. 5:19-20).
- (3) Make restitution and/or ask for forgiveness from those hurt as it is applicable (Phil. 18-19; Matt. 5:23-24).
- (4) He/she will demonstrate a genuine change of heart, a real concern and godly sorrow over his actions, not in order to be forgiven, but because of the harm caused to the glory of God and the hurt caused others (2 Cor. 7:8-11; Ps. 51:17).
- (5) He/she will begin to manifest the fruit of the Spirit and a concern for the things of Christ (Gal. 5:22f).

#### Comfort

This means reaching out to them, assuring them of your support, and encouraging, exhorting, and challenging them to move on (2 Cor. 2:7b).

#### Love

This means including them, drawing them close, doing for them that which will aid their growth and complete recovery (2 Cor. 2:8). This would include encouraging them to get involved in ministry (Luke 22:31-32). For positions of leadership, there should be a time of testing to demonstrate their qualifications after the analogy of 1 Timothy 3:10.

For excellent and more complete studies on this subject, see (1) *A Guide to Church Discipline*, by Carl Laney, Bethany House Publishers, Minneapolis, Minnesota, 1985, and (2) *Healing the Wounded, The Costly Love of Church Discipline*, by John White and Ken Blue, Inter-Varsity Press, Downers Grove, Illinois, 1985.

- 1 R. C. Sproul, *In Search of Dignity*, Regal Books, 1983, p. 182.
- 2 Carl Laney, A Guide to Church Discipline, Bethany House Publishers, p. 14.
- 3 Ibid., p. 20.